

## Peer-review

*The peer-review system applied to the articles which are to be published in the "Theology" review is similar to the usual international procedures. Thus, an article, selected by the editing staff to be published, is simultaneously sent to two members of the scientific staff with competence in the domain of the article. If one of the members declines his competence within seven days, the article is sent to another member. The reviews are then sent to the editorial office within a period of a month and the editorial staff communicates the decision to the author(s).*

*The two reviewers estimate the paper according to some norms, presented below, included in the seven chapters, norms which are suppose to assure a high scientific standard to the paper. Each review ends with a positive or a negative recommendation regarding its publication in our review.*

Reg. number. \_\_\_\_\_

## Peer-review

Article Title

.....  
.....

**1. Does the article reflect the current state of research in the area? Yes/ No**  
*Explain*

---

---

---

**2. Is the bibliography representative? Yes/ No**  
*Explain*

---

---

---

**3. Is the argument plausible? Yes/ No**  
*Explain*

---

---

---

**4. Does the article bring any new contribution to the present state of research?**

**Yes/ No**

*Explain*

---

---

---

**5. Are additions necessary? Do any relevant data miss?**

**Yes/ No**

*Explain*

---

---

---

**6. Are there any errors in the content that must be corrected?**

**Yes/ No**

*Explain*

---

---

---

**7. Do you recommend the article to be published in the THEOLOGY review?**

**Yes/ No**

*Explain*

---

---

---

**Signature.....**

*The scientific and the editorial staff of the “Theology” review require a high scientific level for the published articles in order to enlist the review in the select world of exquisite international theology.*